Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/091,024	HOUSER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J. Davis	1621
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Brian J. Davis</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen T. Hunnius.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 April 2006	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: All pending claims; 1, 7 and 13 in particular.		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
BRIAN DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER		
	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since it appeared that applicant's representative wished to limit the independent claims to the allowable subject matter, the examiner called to suggest changes to the claims which would accomplish this. The detailed changes described in the Examiner's Amendment were agreed to in order to pass the allowable subject matter to issue.